

Missiv #99, SKITZOFRENIA


Yesterday I red an article about schizophrenia, & I had to laf a bit. The author, a wuman, shows by other things she rites that she is personally willing to beleev that peepel hu hear voices mite be hearing a real voice, other than wot short circutes in the brain of the hearer produce. But she is also a professional psychiatrist and faculty member of a university.

Her quandary is that she mite luze her job and her status in the medical community if she admitted that the voices mite be real. I meet other professionals from time to time hu ar in the same pozission. Wether or not they actualy beleev the pc [politically corect] definnission of the fenomenon of hearing voices “on the inner,” they dare not disagree with wot the medicos say.

I hav nothing to looz, I figure, unless the medicos actualy get mad enuf to cum out to my home, arest me, drag me off to a mental hospital and thare imprizon me for the rest of my life. I think u, my friends, ar quite safe in reading the rebellius words that I rite, to the efect that I realy du hear voices, voices that ar not self-generated but cum from other concius beings.

I hav to stop here and point out that the medical profession realy has no way of proving that theze voices ar self-generated. I say I hav a radio in my brain. Ok, so it's not made with actual wires. I say the “wiring” ov my comunicacion is biological. I say that one day I expect men to cum up with equiment wich can pik up wot I hear – not only as it arives in my hed, but also az it originates sumware else.

Du they say that's impossible? Fine – how menny inventions we uze evry day wer once declared by powerful voices to be impossible? The syentists hav not yet been able to trace the voices to enny external sorce; that much is a fact. That is satisfying - wen the witness hu describes intimat aquaintance with an external sorce is totaly disregarded.



NATURAL SELECTION.

These naysayers go on, in sum cases, to atak religion and religius peepel in general. Sum Darwin worshippers, in an actual misrepresentacion of Charles Darwin's thinking, insist that we religius types and our proffets ar not in comunicacion with other worlds, hevans or hells, angels or deemons or wotever. They say Darwin sed so. They say Darin pruded that the claims of the world's religions ar false; religion is all hogwash.

I hav red menny remarks ov this tipe lately on Yahoo Answers, wen I am ansering thare [from the Bible] questions from readers. I woant say all sykyatrists & sykologists go as far as theze defyant atheists. However that vew – that tu be religius iz tu be stupid - is a very convenient “out” for ennyone hu wants an excuse to “outgro” religion – indeed to atak God himself and all his teachings and all his folloers.

I'm not saying there is nothing true in wot the practicioners of mental helth tell us. The word schizophrenia [or az I prefer to spell it skitsofrenia] cums from the greek words schizo, split, plus phren, mynd. A skitsofrenic is a person hooz mynd is split. That's a pritty good description of a person hoo is in tuch with both the material world and another world at the same time. This comunicacion with anothr world can be harmless – the preocupacion of an artist with his or her creativ endeavor for example – or it can be, and be considered, harmful either to the individual or to thoze around him or her. It can lead to insanity. I agree with that. With my gift of proffecy I liv in 2 worlds at the same time. I can meet with hostility

from this earthly world, and I can also meet with hostility, insanity or manipulative mischief from other worlds. Other times it's friendly and productive work.

Jesus warned us about the dangers of otherworld communication. Speaking of Satan he said, "The prince of *this world* comes, & finds nothing in me." [Jn14:30] He also taught his disciples to cast out demons. I warn anyone who undertakes to use drugs, the drugs will be likely to put you in communication with God's angels in a few cases, but much more likely will expose you to the influence of mischievous hellions. In case you think that might be fun, know that it will shorten your life, subject you to harmful delusions, interfere with your earthly success and relationships and get you punished. I have never used drugs, & that's the policy I recommend. I craved the gift of prophecy from an early age, finally developed the free communication needed, and have been working with heaven for the last 40 years.



If the psychological establishment try to tell you I'm wrong, remember that they have not an ounce of proof for their theory about it. It's just their theory. The arguments they raise in their effort to prove there is nothing real about telepathy amount themselves to nothing. I can agree that physical brain phenomena can bring on voices, and tranquilizers can shut off the voices. That in no way proves I'm wrong. In contrast a host of experiments testify to the validity of telepathic perception. At Duke University in particular, studies conducted under rigorous standards have astonished the skeptics year after year. A psychic seated in one room can "see" objects in another room which he or she has no way of knowing about, & describe them. It's only a matter of time before the world realizes that wireless communications – and seers in all the world's races and religions – have already told us. You don't always need the gadgets. There are other ways.

